

Unite

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,666	10/04/2004	David Bennett	1999-0447CON	5591
	7590 10/18/2007		EXAM	INER
Mr. S.H. Dworetsky AT & T Corp.			TRAN, TUAN A	
PO Box 4110 Middletown, N	J 07748	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2.			2618	
			NAW DATE	DEL WERV MORE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· · · · ·	1	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Office Action Summary	10/772,666	BENNETT ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner .	Art Unit			
	The MAN INCOATE AND A STATE OF THE STATE OF	Tuan A. Tran	2618			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	lears on the cover sheet with th	e corresponaence aaaress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period verse to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr , cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. The timely filed From the mailing date of this communication. From the mailing date of this communication. From the mailing date of this communication.			
Status			•			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ju	ıly 2007.				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,3-9,11 and 12 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3-9,11 and 12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
\pplicati	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	•			
	•					
12)⊠ a)ľ	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applic rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)			
2)	the of helefelices Cited (FTO-692) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail				

Application/Control Number: 10/772,666

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 3-9 and 11-12 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,697,649. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,697,649 cover similar claimed subject matters as specified in claims 1, 3-9 and 11-12 of the instant application, with various wordings.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 3-9 and 11-12 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the nonstatutory double patenting rejection, set forth in this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,666

Art Unit: 2618

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject

matter:

The reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter have been indicated in the Office Action mailed on 05/03/2007.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan A. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7858. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 10:00AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Anderson can be reached on (571) 272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,666

Art Unit: 2618

Tuan Tran AU 2618 Page 4